

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON) AMENDED AFFIDAVIT
) OF ASHLEIGH MESSERVEY

PERSONALLY, APPEARED BEFORE ME, Ashleigh Messervy who being duly sworn,
does state as follows herein below.

1. My name is Ashleigh Messervy. I am over the age of 18 and am competent to give this affidavit.
2. I have known Patrick Bryant for approximately nine years in both a business and personal capacity.
3. I have no prior business or personal relationship with Nancy Mace. My only connection with her was a prior relationship with Patrick Bryant.
4. On August 27, 2024, Nancy contacted me by phone and text. I later learned she obtained my contact information via a mutual contact at WCBD News 2.
5. I responded to Nancy under the belief she was reaching out for business purposes, but she quickly informed me that she had evidence that Patrick had videos in his possession which show him engaging in both consensual and non-consensual sex with women, who she believed were either unaware or unable to consent to the creation of such material.
6. Nancy stated that she was in the process of notifying women featured in these videos of the existence of the videos. She also said that she had additional information regarding Patrick’s behavior that she deemed questionable.

7. On August 28, 2024, around 10:00am, I met Nancy at The Dime, a restaurant on Daniel Island. During our conversation, Nancy made multiple allegations against Patrick, including that he engaged in acts of sexual assault and intimate partner violence.
8. She showed me an envelope that contained images ranging from explicit/disturbing/questionable to innocuous/innocent/uneventful in an effort to explain her strong beliefs. She would show the image and then quickly place it back in the envelope, reducing my ability to inspect them closely.
9. Mace explained that she obtained the images from Bryant's phone, and explained that she used to be a "programmer"; Mace then said, "I hacked into his [Bryant's] computer and phone,"
10. When I asked her directly about the names of alleged victims or witnesses who could corroborate her claims, she refused to disclose any details.
11. During this meeting, Nancy discussed her allegations against Patrick. I describe these allegations in more detail below.
12. **Allegation #1.** Nancy alleged that Patrick and a colleague broke into an unidentified woman's dwelling to take turns sexually assaulting her and filming this encounter. She asserted that Patrick had engaged in this kind of behavior on multiple occasions, but did not provide any additional information on the circumstances of such events.
13. Nancy stated that any evidence she gathered had been turned over as evidence to the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division (SLED),

14. **Allegation #2.** Nancy alleged that Patrick may have thousands of sexually explicit videos and images, which she admitted could be a mixture of consensual and nonconsensual encounters. She showed me several images that appeared to have been taken at Patrick's Isle of Palms condominium including an image of Patrick engaged in oral sex with a woman, images of women in various stages of undress, and an image of a woman sleeping on his couch. None of these women were identifiable in the photos.

15. **Allegation #3.** Nancy alleged that Patrick physically assaulted her in late 2023. As proof, she showed me several images, including a photo of a cut on her wrist and a bruise on her arm. She also showed me what she claimed to be damage to a door or wall. She claimed that these photos did not do justice to the severity of the purported assault. As we discussed this purported assault, she stated that she broke up with Patrick due to the assault and her discovery of the aforementioned explicit videos. She did not reference breaking up with Patrick due to finding his profile on a dating app, which was the reason she had given to the media previously. She also stated that she'd never had tattoos, but since the purported assault, she had gotten nine (9) tattoos so she could "feel the pain."

During my quick glance, it looked rather innocuous, but Nancy stated that it had been taken from the angle of Patrick's "private parts," and that was what concerned her. She also showed me another seemingly innocuous photo of what appeared to be a teenage girl in a bathing suit alongside taller males. She stated that Patrick is a "fantastic photographer," and she believed that the photo was taken in a way that focuses on the girl's private parts.

17. **Allegation #5.** Nancy alleged that Patrick was or is taking a PrEP, a medication that is intended to protect those who are engaging in unprotected sex with multiple partners from contracting HIV. As evidence, she showed a photo of a prescription bottle that did not include any identifying patient information. When asked to substantiate her claim, she showed me a photo of a prescription bottle, but there was no patient name on the bottle. She stated that she was "in the process of collecting evidence [that the medication was prescribed to Patrick] from a former employee who used to be responsible for picking up the medication." Additionally, she stated that she had been tested for HIV and was "clear." Nancy was clearly insinuating that it was creepy that Patrick would be taking this drug.

18. I believe the purpose of this meeting was to attempt to sway me to assist her in her objectives regarding Patrick.

19. After our August 28th conversation, Nancy and I communicated again about the situation via text. In these texts, Nancy made the following statements:

- a. *"You have the right to know when it's all said and done. And eventually deserve to know if you were recorded on one of the four devices he used to record women without their knowledge, consent, or permission, the way he did me and others (Allegedly, for legal purposes);"*

- b. *“Either way you have a right to know if you’re a victim when it’s fully investigated and civil lawsuits filed/resolved”;*
- c. *“[I] have started the process of meeting with other women who might be victims or might have helpful information.”;*
- d. *“You’d be surprised how long these things take... However they [rape victims] are about to come forward very soon...Like any day now...it’s been a very long process”;*
- e. *“There are a lot of plot twists...it would light your hair on fire.”*

20. The following specific exchange also took place in these text messages:

Ashleigh: *So how do we know they were raped?*

Nancy: *Because they recorded it and I found the recordings...allegedly...*

Ashleigh: *So you have the recordings and they are with sled [sic]?*

Nancy: *Unable to say*

Nancy: *Sorry*

14. I have not communicated with Nancy further about her allegations since that time, although I did give an oral statement to SLED on November 13, 2024. (On March 5, 2025, I signed a prior version of this exact same affidavit, wherein I recalled that my call with SLED was January 6, 2025. Upon further reflection, and then upon checking my phone records, I determined that I was mistaken and that my call with SLED was on November 13, 2024. This Amended Affidavit is simply correcting that clerical error).

15. I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment by contempt.

signature page to follow

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



ASHLEIGH MESSERVY

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME

THIS 30 day of June 2025.

Nichelle Rob

NOTARY PUBLIC for the State of South Carolina

My Commission Expires: 04/26/2031

